• •	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/669,124	ROSENBERGER, JOEL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher A. Revak	2131
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>RCE filed after advisory action</u>		
(1) <u>Christopher A. Revak</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Tracy Powell</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 5 July 2007	Time: <u>1:00pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	oplicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 112 2 nd paragraph		
Claims discussed: 1,18,34		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G See Continuation Sheet	ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. 	 The examiner will provide a rate record of the substance o 	written summary of the substance f the interview, since the interview
(Examiner SPE Signature) (App	licant/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)
(App	ioditi/Applicant a Nepresentati	To digitatoro – ir appropriatoj

Application No. 10/669,124

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The claims recite of a device profile, however it is unclear how the determination is made if an unknown wireless device is authorized. According to the remaining claims, and the applicant's specification, this determination is made in regards to the device profile. The applicant's representative agreed to an examiner's amendment to clarify the claims..